arXiv:1611.01651v1 [math.DS] 5 Nov 2016

NONCOMMUTATIVE MAXIMAL ERGODIC THEOREMS FOR SPHERICAL MEANS ON THE HEISENBERG GROUP

GUIXIANG HONG

Abstract. We prove maximal ergodic theorems for spherical averages on the Heisenberg groups acting on L_p spaces over measure spaces not necessarily commutative, that is, on noncommutative L_p spaces. The scale of p is optimal in the reduced Heisenberg group case. We also obtain the corresponding individual ergodic theorems and differential theorems in the noncommutative setting. The results can be regarded as noncommutative analogues of Nevo-Thangavelu's ergodic theorems. The approach of proof involves recent developments in noncommutative L_p spaces and in noncommutative harmonic analysis, in addition to the spectral theory used in the commutative setting.

1. Introduction

Let H^n denote the Heisenberg group, and let σ_r denote the normalized Lebesgue measure on the sphere $\{(z,0) : |z| = r\}$. Let (X, m) be a standard measure space on which H^n acts measurably by measure preserving transformations, and let $\pi(\sigma_r)$ denote the operator canonically associated with σ_r on $L_p(X)$. Nevo and Thangavelu [24] established the maximal ergodic theorems in L_p for the sequence of operators $(\pi(\sigma_r))_{r>0}$. Their result was later improved by Narayanan and Thangavelu [22] to obtain the optimal scale of p.

Along the current research line in noncommutative harmonic analysis, it is interesting to understand whether the maximal ergodic theorems remain true in noncommutative L_p space for the two sequences of operators $(\pi(\sigma_r) \otimes id_{B(\ell_2)})_{r>0}$ (the tensor product extension) and $(\pi(\sigma_r) \rtimes id_G)_{r>0}$ (the crossed product extension), where $B(\ell_2)$ is the matrix algebra of infinite dimension and G is some locally compact group (or discrete group). We refer the readers to the next section for all the notions not appearing here and below.

MR(2010) Subject Classification. Primary 46L55, 37A15; Secondary 46L51, 46B75.

Keywords. Von Neumann algebra, noncommutative L_p space, tensor/crossed product, spherical means, Heisenberg group, maximal ergodic theorem, individual ergodic theorem.

The two kinds of extension problems are not trivial at all in many cases. The tensor product problems are motivated by matrix-valued harmonic analysis and quantum probability. To deal with the operator-valued Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequality, Mei [20] invented a very interesting and powerful techniques to reduce it to several noncommutative Doob's maximal inequalities. To prove the weak type $(1,1)$ estimates of Calderón-Zygmund operators acting on matrix-valued functions, Parcet [25] exploited the pseudo-localization principle of the operators themselves and built the noncommutative Calderón-Zygmund decomposition. While the crossed product problems are firstly introduced by Junge, Mei and Parcet [15] in order to study Fourier multipliers on group von Neumann algebra. To obtain the mapping property of twisted Hilbert transform, Parcet and Rogers [26] combined the arguments from Kakeya type constructions with geometric group theory and noncommutative Littlewood-Paley methods. To establish the Poincaré type inequalities for group measure spaces, Zeng [37] made use of a twisted version of Khintchine inequality with optimal order of constant.

In the present paper, we establish the maximal ergodic theorem associated to spherical averages on Heisenberg groups in a more general framework, including the previously mentioned two extensions. In this sense, this paper is the first attempt to build maximal ergodic theorem in noncommutative L_p space for general trace preserving actions of locally compact groups, being a part of effort [17] [1] [12] to extend classical maximal ergodic theorems to the noncommutative setting. In [17], Junge and Xu established the noncommutative analogue of the classical Dunford-Schwartz and Stein's maximal ergodic inequality. Anantharaman [1] and Hu [12] respectively proved the noncommutative analogues of Nevo-Stein's maximal ergodic inequality for free groups using two different approaches. Junge and Xu's maximal ergodic theorems have also been extended to more general semigroups—for instance analytic semigroups [2] [19], and to more general function spaces—for instance Orlicz spaces [3] [7].

Motivated by the developments in classical ergodic theory, along the research line of noncommutative maximal ergodic theorem for trace preserving actions of locally compact groups, in a subsequent paper [11], we study ergodic theorems for spherical averages on Gelfand pairs. As an application, the spherical maximal ergodic inequality could be used to obtain dimension free estimates of maximal inequality associated to ball averages acting on noncommutative L_p spaces. For instance, in another subsequent paper [10], we obtain dimension free estimate associated to ball averages in $\mathbb{R}^n$ action on noncommutative L_p spaces.

In the next section, we firstly recall the definition of vector-valued noncommutative L_p spaces $L_p(\ell_\infty)$-a substitute of the L_p-norm of maximal function in the commutative case, and the crossed product construction. Then we describe the framework for the research of noncommutative maximal ergodic

theorem for trace-preserving action of locally compact groups. Finally, we state the results obtained in the present paper.

2. Preliminaries, framework, and state of results

2.1. **Vector-valued noncommutative L_p-spaces.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal semifinite faithful trace τ and $S_{\mathcal{M}}^+$ be the set of all positive element x in $\mathcal{M}$ with $\tau(s(x)) < \infty$, where $s(x)$ is the smallest projection e such that $exe = x$. Let $S_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the linear span of $S_{\mathcal{M}}^+$. Then any $x \in S_{\mathcal{M}}$ has finite trace, and $S_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a w^*-dense $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $1 \le p < \infty$. For any $x \in S_{\mathcal{M}}$, the operator $|x|^p$ belongs to $S_{\mathcal{M}}^+$ ($|x| = (x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$). We define

$$\|x\|_p = \big(\tau(|x|^p)\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \qquad \forall x \in S_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

One can check that $\|\cdot\|_p$ is well defined and is a norm on $S_{\mathcal{M}}$. The completion of $(S_{\mathcal{M}}, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is denoted by $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ which is the usual noncommutative L_p-space associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. For convenience, we usually set $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$ equipped with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}$. We refer the reader to [36] for more information on noncommutative L_p-spaces.

Let us recall the definition of the noncommutative maximal norm introduced by Pisier [35] and Junge [14]. We define $L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty)$ to be the space of all sequences $x = (x_n)_{n\ge1}$ in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ which admit a factorization of the following form: there exist $a, b \in L_{2p}(\mathcal{M})$ and a bounded sequence $y = (y_n)$ in $L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$x_n = a y_n b, \quad \forall\, n \ge 1.$$

The norm of x in $L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty)$ is given by

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty)} = \inf\big\{\|a\|_{2p} \sup_{n\ge1} \|y_n\|_\infty \|b\|_{2p}\big\},$$

where the infimum runs over all factorizations of x as above.

We will follow the convention adopted in [17] that $\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty)}$ is denoted by $\big\|\sup_n^+ x_n\big\|_p$. We should warn the reader that $\big\|\sup_n^+ x_n\big\|_p$ is just a notation since $\sup_n x_n$ does not make any sense in the noncommutative setting. We find, however, that $\big\|\sup_n^+ x_n\big\|_p$ is more intuitive than $\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty)}$. The introduction of this notation is partly justified by the following remark.

Remark 2.1. Let $x = (x_n)$ be a sequence of self-adjoint operators in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$. Then $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty)$ if and only if there exists a positive element $a \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ such that $-a \le x_n \le a$ for all $n \ge 1$. In this case we have

$$\big\|\sup_{n\ge1}{}^+ x_n\big\|_p = \inf\big\{\|a\|_p \,:\, a \in L_p(\mathcal{M}),\ -a \le x_n \le a,\ \forall\, n \ge 1\big\}.$$

More generally, if Λ is any index set, we define $L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty(\Lambda))$ as the space of all $x=(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ that can be factorized as

$$x_\lambda = ay_\lambda b \quad \text{with} \quad a,b\in L_{2p}(\mathcal{M}),\ y_\lambda\in L_\infty(\mathcal{M}),\ \sup_\lambda \|y_\lambda\|_\infty<\infty.$$

The norm of $L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty(\Lambda))$ is defined by

$$\big\|\sup_{\lambda\in\Lambda}{}^+ x_\lambda\big\|_p = \inf_{x_\lambda=ay_\lambda b}\big\{\|a\|_{2p}\sup_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\|y_\lambda\|_\infty\,\|b\|_{2p}\big\}.$$

It is shown in [17] that $x\in L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty(\Lambda))$ if and only if

$$\sup\big\{\big\|\sup_{\lambda\in J}{}^+ x_\lambda\big\|_p\ :\ J\subset\Lambda,\ J\text{ finite}\big\}<\infty.$$

In this case, $\big\|\sup_{\lambda\in\Lambda}{}^+ x_\lambda\big\|_p$ is equal to the above supremum.

A closely related operator space is $L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty^c)$ for $p\geq 2$ which is the set of all sequences $(x_n)_n\subset L_p(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$\|\sup_{n\geq 1}{}^+|x_n|^2\|_{p/2}^{1/2}<\infty.$$

While $L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty^r)$ for $p\geq 2$ is the Banach space of all sequences $(x_n)_n\subset L_p(\mathcal{M})$ such that $(x_n^*)_n\in L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty^c)$. All these spaces fall into the scope of amalgamated L_p spaces intensively studied in [16]. What we need about these spaces is the following interpolation results.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $2\leq p\leq\infty$. Then we have*

$$(L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty^c),L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty^r))_{1/2}=L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty)$$

with equivalent norms.

We refer the reader to [14], [21] and [16] for more properties on these spaces.

2.2. **W^*-dynamical system.** Let G be a locally compact second countable (lcsc) group. Let $(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a semifinite normal faithful trace τ. A W^*-dynamical system is a quadruple $(\mathcal{M},\tau,G,\gamma)$ in which γ is a trace-preserving continuous homomorphism of G into the group $Aut(\mathcal{M})$ of automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$ in the weak* topology. This means that for each $x\in\mathcal{M}$, the $\mathcal{M}$-valued function defined on G: $g\to\gamma(g)x$ is σ-weakly continuous, and $\tau(\gamma(g)x)=\tau(x)$ for any $g\in G$ and $x\in\mathcal{M}\cap L_1(\mathcal{M})$. Such homomorphism γ will be called an action.

Start with a W^*-dynamical system $(\mathcal{M},\tau,G,\gamma)$, we can build a new von Neumann algebra. Consider the representation ρ of $\mathcal{M}$ on $L_2(G;L_2(\mathcal{M}))$ given by

$$\rho(x)\xi(g)=\gamma(g^{-1})(x)\xi(g).$$

Then the crossed product of $\mathcal{M}$ by G, denoted by $\mathcal{M}\rtimes_\gamma G$, is defined as the weak operator closure of $id_{\mathcal{M}}\otimes\lambda(G)$ and $\rho(\mathcal{M})$ in $B(L_2(G;L_2(\mathcal{M})))$, where λ is the left regular representation of G. Trivially $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{L}(G)$, the group von Neumann algebra, can be viewed as von Neumann subalgebras of $\mathcal{M}\rtimes_\gamma G$.

In this paper, we assume G is unimodular, or equivalently, that the Haar measure is inversion invariant. A generic element of $\mathcal{M} \rtimes_\gamma G$ can be formally written as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_G x_g \rtimes_\gamma \lambda(g)dg &= \int_G \rho(x_g)(id_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \lambda(g))dg \\ &= \int_{G\times G\times G} (\gamma(h)x_g \otimes e_{h,h})(1_{\mathcal{M}}) \otimes e_{gh',h'} dgdhdh' \\ &= \int_{G\times G} \gamma(h^{-1})x_g \otimes e_{h,g^{-1}} dgdh \\ &= \int_{G\times G} \gamma(g^{-1})x_{gh^{-1}} \otimes e_{g,h} dgdh.\end{aligned}$$

There is a canonical trace on $\mathcal{M} \rtimes_\gamma G$ given by

$$\tau \rtimes \tau_G(x \rtimes \lambda(g)) = \tau \otimes \tau_G(x \otimes \lambda(g)) = \tau(x)\delta_{g=e},$$

where we denote by τ_G the canonical trance on $\mathcal{L}(G)$. The arithmetic in $\mathcal{M} \rtimes_\gamma G$ is given by

$$(x \rtimes_\gamma \lambda(g))^* = \gamma(g^{-1})(x^*) \rtimes_\gamma \lambda(g^{-1})$$

and

$$(x \rtimes_\gamma \lambda(g))(y \rtimes_\gamma \lambda(h)) = (x\gamma(g)(y)) \rtimes_\gamma \lambda(gh).$$

The group measure space mentioned in the introduction refers to a special case of the crossed product, that is, $\mathcal{M} = L_\infty(X, \mu)$. The crossed product can be viewed as a twisted version of the tensor product $\mathcal{M}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(G)$, but is very different in the sense that under the conditions that $\mathcal{M}$ is a commutative von Neumann algebra and G is an abelian group, the tensor product remains a commutative algebra but the crossed product is not a commutative algebra any more.

2.3. **Framework.** We formulate the framework for the study of the maximal ergodic theorem in noncommutative L_p space for trace-preserving action of locally compact groups in the present (and subsequent) paper. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau, G, \alpha)$ be a W^*-dynamical system with $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with a normal faithful trace τ, and the action α being trace preserving. Then α is naturally extended to isometric automorphisms of $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ for all $1 \le p \le \infty$, which is still denoted by α. As it is well-known, the weak*-continuity of $(\alpha(g))_{g\in G}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ induces the strong continuity of $(\alpha(g))_{g\in G}$, i.e. for each $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ with $1 \le p < \infty$, the map $g \to \alpha(g)x$ is a continuous map from G to $L_p(\mathcal{M})$, where we take the norm topology on $L_p(\mathcal{M})$.

Let $M(G)$ denote the Banach space of bounded complex Borel measures on G. For each $\mu \in M(G)$, there corresponds an operator $\alpha(\mu)$, with norm

bounded by $\|\mu\|_1$ in every $L_p(\mathcal{M})$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, given by

$$\alpha(\mu)x = \int_G \alpha(g)x d\mu(g),\ \forall x \in L_p(\mathcal{M}).$$

This definition should be justified as follows. For any $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ and $y \in L_q(\mathcal{M})$ where $1/p + 1/q = 1$, the function $g \to \langle \alpha(g)x, y\rangle$ is continuous on G, bounded by $\|x\|_p\|y\|_q$. Hence

$$\int_G \langle \alpha(g)x, y\rangle d\mu(g) \le \|\mu\|_1\|x\|_p\|y\|_q.$$

It follows the operator $\alpha(\mu)x$ is well defined and $\alpha(\mu)x$ is in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$. Moreover, $\|\alpha(\mu)x\|_p \le \|\mu\|_1\|x\|_p$ so that $\|\alpha(\mu)\| \le \|\mu\|_1$.

As G having been assumed to be unimodular. It is easy to check that $\mu \to \alpha(\mu)$ is a norm-continuous $*$-representation of the involutive Banach algebra $M(G)$ as an algebra of operators on $L_2(\mathcal{M})$. We recall that the product in $M(G)$ is defined as convolution $\mu * \nu(f) = \int_G \int_G f(gh)d\mu(g)d\nu(h)$, and the involution is $\mu^*(E) = \overline{\mu(E)^{-1}}$.

Denote by $P(G)$ the subset of probability measures in $M(G)$. Let $t \to v_t$ be a weakly continuous map from $\mathbb{R}_+$ to $P(G)$, namely $t \to v_t(f)$ is continuous for each $f \in C_c(G)$. We will refer to $(v_t)_{t>0}$ as a one-parameter family of probability measures. We can now formulate the following.

Definition 2.3. A one-parameter family $(v_t)_{t>0} \subset P(G)$ will be called a global (resp. local) noncommutative maximal ergodic family in L_p if for every trace preserving action α of G on any noncommutative measure space $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, there exists a constant c_p such that

$$\|\sup_{t>1}{}^+ \alpha(v_t)x\|_p \le c_p\|x\|_p \text{ (resp. } \|\sup_{0<t\le 1}{}^+ \alpha(v_t)x\|_p \le c_p\|x\|_p \text{).}$$

Remark 2.4. The basic example is the one-parameter family $(\mu_t)_{t>0}$ of probability measures on $G = \mathbb{R}$ given by $\mu_t = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \frac{1}{2}(\delta_s + \delta_{-s})ds$. This family is a both global and local noncommutative maximal ergodic family in every L_p with $1 < p < \infty$ which can be deduced from Junge and Xu's result [17] on the noncommutative analogue of Dunford-Schwartz maximal ergodic theorem for semigroups (see also Lemma 5.5 below) since the operator $\alpha(\mu_t)$ can be viewed as an average of two ergodic averages M_t's associated to the semigroups $(T_+^s)_{s>0}$ and $(T_-^s)_{s>0}$, where $T_+^s x = \alpha_s x$ and $T_-^s x = \alpha_{-s}x$.

Also note that μ_t is the uniform average of the natural spherical measures $\sigma_s = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_s + \delta_{-s})$ on a sphere of radius s with center 0.

2.4. **Statement of results.** Let $H = H^n = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ denote the Heisenberg group with group operation

$$(z,t)(w,s) = (z + w, t + s + \frac{1}{2}Im(z \cdot \bar{w})).$$

Let $S^n_{r,t} = \{(z,t) : \ |z| = r\}$ be the sphere in the Heisenberg group H^n. The first one-parameter family of probability measures we are concerned in the present paper is the normalized surface measures σ_r's on the sphere $S^n_r := S^n_{r,0}$. The first main result of this paper is stated as follows:

Theorem 2.5. *The spherical means σ_r on H^n is a both global and local noncommutative maximal ergodic families in L_p, provided $n > 1$ and $(2n-1)/(2n-2) < p \leq \infty$.*

As it is well-known that the scale of p in Theorem 2.5 may not be optimal, since the best lower bound of p is $2n/(2n-1)$ whenever the underlying von Neumann algebra is commutative. Narayanan and Thangavelu obtained the optimal result first on $\mathbb{H}^n$, then on general measure spaces using transference principle. Even though the transference principle has been establish by the author in [10], another main tool used by Narayanan and Thangavelu—Fefferman-Stein vector-valued maximal inequality—remains an open problem in the noncommutative setting. So we can not improve the lower bound of p to $2n/(2n-1)$. However, in the reduced Heisenberg group case, we do obatin the optimal lower bound.

The center of H^n is denoted by $Z(H^n) = \{(0,t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. The reduced Heisenberg group is defined as $\bar{H}^n = H^n/Z_1$, where $Z_1 = \{(0,n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the discrete subgroup of $Z(H^n)$. Another one-parameter family of probability measures we are concerned is the spherical means $\bar{\sigma}_r$ on $\bar{S}^n_r := \bar{S}^n_{r,0} = \{(z,0) : \ |z| = r\}$.

Theorem 2.6. *The spherical means $\bar{\sigma}_r$ on $\bar{H}^n$ is a global noncommutative maximal ergodic families in L_p, provided $n > 1$ and $2n/(2n-1) < p \leq \infty$.*

Both the proof of the two maximal ergodic theorems depend on the spectral theory. That the related spectral estimates is not sharp stop us from obtaining optimal scale in Theorem thm:maximal radial average; to obtain the sharp estimates in the reduced Heisenberg roup, we use the discreteness of the Largurre spectrum to sharpen the estimates and optimal scale of p of spherical maximal inequality in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ [9].

Based on the two results, we get the maximal ergodic theorem for the tensor/crossed product extensions. Let (X,m) be a standard measure space on which H^n acts measurably by measure preserving transformations, and let $\pi(\sigma_r)$ denote the operator canonically associated with σ_r on $L_p(X)$.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $n > 1$. We have the following results:*

(i) *The triple $(L_\infty(X)\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{M}, H^n, \pi\otimes id_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a W^*-dynamical system. Hence we have the maximal ergodic theorem in L_p with $(2n-1)/(2n-2) < p \leq \infty$, that is, there exists a universal constant $C_p > 0$ such that*

$$\|\sup_{r>0}{}^{+}\pi(\sigma_r)\otimes id_{\mathcal{M}}(f)\|_p \leq C_p\|f\|_p, \ \forall f \in L_p(L_\infty(X)\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{M}).$$

(ii) *The triple* $(L_\infty(X) \rtimes_\gamma G, H^n, \pi \rtimes_\gamma id_G)$ *is a* W^**-dynamical system provided that* π *and* γ *commute, that is,* $\pi(\ell)\gamma(g) = \gamma(g)\pi(\ell)$ *for any* $\ell \in H^n$ *and* $g \in G$. *Hence we have the maximal ergodic theorem in* L_p *with* $(2n-1)/(2n-2) < p \leq \infty$.

(iii) *The triple* $(L_\infty(H^n) \rtimes_R U(n), H^n, T \rtimes_R id_{U(n)})$ *is not a* W^**-dynamical system, where* T *denotes the translation action and* R *denotes the rotation action. But we still have the maximal ergodic theorem in* L_p *with* $(2n-1)/(2n-2) < p \leq \infty$.

(iv) *Simiar statements in* (i)-(iii) hold for $2n/(2n-1) < p \leq \infty$ by replacing H^n with $\bar{H}^n$.

Corollary 2.7 (i) and (ii) follow trivially from Theorem 2.5. And (iii) follows from the easy fact that the operators $T(\sigma_r) \rtimes_R id_{U(n)}$ is the restriction of $T(\sigma_r) \otimes id_{B(L_2(U(n)))}$ to $L_\infty(H^n) \rtimes_R U(n)$ and (i).

The rest part of the paper is organized as follows. In next section, we recall the spherical spectral theory on Heisenberg group which plays essential role in our proof. In Section 4, we recall the mean ergodic theorem, which will be used for maximal and individual ergodic theorems. Section 5 (resp. Section 6) is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.5 (resp. Theorem 2.6). As in [17] and [12], the noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems may be used to decude the corresponding noncommutative individual ergodic theorems. In the last section, we establish the individual ergodic theorems. Throughout this paper, C denotes a universal constant, may varying from line to line.

3. Spherical spectral theory

3.1. **Spherical functions.** Let $K_n := U(n)$ denote the unitary group. The Heisenberg motion group $G_n := H^n \rtimes U(n)$ with group law of G_n given by

$$(z_1, t_1, k_1)(z_2, t_2, k_2) = (z_1 + k_1 z_2, t_1 + t_2 + \frac{1}{2} Im(z_1 \cdot \overline{k_1 z_2}), k_1 k_2).$$

The inverse of an element $(z, t, k) \in G_n$ is given by $(-k^{-1}, -t, k^{-1})$ and $(0, 0, I)$ serves as the identity where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Then K_n and H^n are isomorphic to two subgroups of G_n.

A function f on H^n is said to be radial if f is a function of $|z|$ and t, equivalently $f(kz, t) = f(z, t)$ for all $(z, t) \in H^n$ and $k \in K_n$. Let $L^1(H^n, K_n)$ denote the subspace of radial functions in $L^1(H^n)$. This space is canonically identical with $L^1(G_n, K_n)$, the subspace of bi-K_n-invariant functions in $L^1(G_n)$. The radial functions on H^n form a commutative convolution algebra, since this algebra is canonically isomorphic to the algebra of bi-K_n-invariant functions on G_n and it is well-known (see e.g. [8], [31]) that (G_n, K_n) form a Gelfand pair.

Let $M_n := M(H^n, K_n)$ denote the norm-closed convolution algebra generated by the surface measures $\{\sigma_{r,t}\}_{r>0, t\in\mathbb{R}}$ in $M(H^n)$. M_n is canonically

isomorphic to the algebra generated by $\{\sigma'_{r,t}\}_{r>0,t\in\mathbb{R}}$ on the corresponding spheres in $M(G_n)$.

As it is well-known (see e.g. [4]), the complex homomorphisms of a Gelfand pair $L^1(G_n, K_n)$ are given by bounded spherical functions. Bounded K_n-spherical functions on G_n are characterized by satisfying $\phi(e) = 1$ and the integrals equation

$$\int_K \phi(akb)dk = \phi(a)\phi(b),\ a, b \in G.$$

There are two families of spherical functions. One family is parametrised by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. They are given by

$$e_k^\lambda(z,t) = \frac{k!(n-1)!}{(k+n-1)!} e^{i\lambda t}\varphi_k^\lambda(z),$$

where

$$\varphi_k^\lambda(z) = L_k^{n-1}(\frac{1}{2}|\lambda||z|^2)e^{-\frac{1}{4}\lambda||z|^2},$$

$L_k^{n-1}(t)$ being Laguerre polynomials of type $n-1$. Another family is given for each $u > 0$ by

$$\eta_u(z,t) = \frac{2^{n-1}(n-1)!}{(u|z|)^{n-1}} J_{n-1}(u|z|)$$

where J_{n-1} is the Bessel function of order $n-1$, and for $u = 0$, the spherical function $\eta_0(z,t) = 1$ identically.

Thus the Gelfand spectrum Σ of the algebra $L^1(G_n, K_n)$, equivalently the algebra $L^1(H^n, K_n)$, is the union of the Laguerre spectrum $\Sigma_L = \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}\times\mathbb{N}$, the Bessel spectrum $\Sigma_B = (0,\infty)$ and the trivial character $\{0\}$. For any $\zeta \in \Sigma$, let φ_ζ be the spherical function e_k^λ, or η_u, or $\eta_0 = 1$ as the case may be. In what follows $\varphi_\zeta(r)$ stands for $\varphi_\zeta(z,0)$ with $|z| = r$.

Let us firstly collect some facts on the spectral theory of M_n in the following lemma, which has been shown in [24] and will be used in this paper.

Lemma 3.1. (i). *$\sigma_{r_1,t_1} * \sigma_{r_2,t_2}$ is a probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to Haar measure on H^n, unless $n = 1$, where the convolution of at least three spherical measures is absolutely continuous.*

(ii). *Finite linear combinations of functions of the form $\sigma_{r_1} * \sigma_{r_2} * \cdots * \sigma_{r_k}$ where $r_i > 0$ and $k \geq 3$ are dense in $L^1(H^n, K_n)$, and thus $L^1(H^n, K_n) \subset M_n$.*

(iii). *The group generated by S_r^n in H^n equals H^n.*

(iv). *Let ψ be a non-zero continuous complex homomorphism of M_n. Then the radial function $\varphi(g) = \psi(m_{K_n} * \delta_g * m_{K_n})$ equals $\varphi_\zeta(g)$ for some $\zeta \in \Sigma$. Whence, restriction of complex characters from $M(H^n, K_n) = M_n$ to its subalgebra $L^1(H^n, K_n)$ induces a canonical identification of the Gelfand spectrum of the two algebras.*

The fundamental property is the one stated in (i), which has previously been shown by Stempak in [30]. The property (ii) is deduced from (i) using approximation identity. Property (iii) follows easily from (i) by noting the support of σ_r^{*6} contains an open neighbourhood of the identity. Property (iv) follows from (ii) combining some calculations on the spherical functions. We refer the reader to [24] for more details.

Lemma 3.1 enables us to do the following arguments. Being a weak $*$-continuous action of H^n on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, α induces a strongly continuous unitary representation of H^n on $L_2(\mathcal{M})$. Then α determines canonically a norm continuous $*$-representation of the algebra $M(H^n, K_n)$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ the commutative C^*-algebra which is the closure of $\alpha(M_n)$ in the operator norm. Let Σ_α denote the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ which is by definition the set of all non-zero norm continuous complex homomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$. Clearly Σ_α is a subset of the Gelfand spectrum of $M(H^n, K_n)$. Consequently, every symmetric (self-adjoint) measure μ in $M(H^n, K_n)$ is mapped to a self-adjoint operator on $L_2(\mathcal{M})$, whose spectrum is the set $\{\varphi_\zeta(\mu) : \zeta \in \Sigma_\alpha\}$. As a consequence, we have the following spectral decomposition,

$$\alpha(\sigma_r) = \int_{\zeta\in\Sigma_\alpha} \varphi_\zeta(r) de_\zeta, \tag{3.1}$$

which is the starting point for our proof of the radial ergodic theorems using spectral methods.

4. Mean ergodic theorem

The mean ergodic theorem has been essentially proved in [24]. But we prefer to recall it for completeness. On the other hand, some new terminologies appear. Let $(\mathcal{M}, H^n, \alpha)$ be a W^*-dynamical system. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : \alpha(g)x = x\ , \forall g \in G\}$. It is easy to show that $\mathcal{F}$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ as in the semigroup case [17], thus there exists a unique conditional expectation $F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, this condition expectation extends naturally from $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ to $L_p(\mathcal{F})$ with $1 \le p < \infty$, which are still denoted by F.

Theorem 4.1. *For $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ with $1 \le p < \infty$, we have*

$$\|\alpha(\sigma_r)x - F(x)\|_p \to 0, \text{ as } r \to \infty \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\|\alpha(\sigma_r)x - x\|_p \to 0, \text{ as } r \to 0 \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Proof of (4.1). It suffices to prove the case $p = 2$ since other cases can be obtained by the fact that F and all $\alpha(\sigma_r)$'s are contractive and the standard density arguments. By (3.1), for $x \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$, we have

$$\|\alpha(\sigma_r)x\|_2^2 = \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} |\varphi_\zeta(r)|^2 \langle de_\zeta x, x\rangle.$$

Following from the asymptotic properties of the Laguerre and Bessel functions, all the nontrivial spherical functions satisfy $|\varphi_\zeta(r)| \leq 1$ and $|\varphi_\zeta(r)| \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$. Therefore if x is an element such that its spectral measure $\langle de_\zeta x, x\rangle$ assigns 0 to the trivial character then it follows by dominated convergence theorem that $\|\alpha(\sigma_r)x\|_2 \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$.

Now we claim that for any $x \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$, the spectral measure of $x - F(x)$ assigns 0 to the trivial character whence (4.1) follows. This is deduced from the fact that the space of vectors invariant under each $\alpha(\sigma_r)$ coincides with $L_2(\mathcal{F})$, the space of H^n invariant vectors. To see this assume y is a unit vector such that $\alpha(\sigma_r)y = y$ for all $r > 0$. The strict convexity of H^n implies that $\alpha(g)y = y$ for almost all points on the support of σ_r. By strong continuity of $g \to \alpha_g y$, we have $\alpha(g)y = y$ for every point in the support of σ_r, which is the sphere S_r^n in H^n. By Lemma 3.1 (iii), the group generated by S_r^n equals H^n. It follows that $\alpha(g)y = y$ for all $g \in H^n$.

Proof of (4.2). Let $(\phi_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset C_c^\infty(H^n)$ be an approximation identity. By the strong continuity of the action α, it is easy to verify that $\alpha(\phi_\varepsilon)x \to x$ in L_p-norm as $\varepsilon \to 0$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$. Hence by density, it suffices to prove (4.2) for $x = \alpha(\phi_{\varepsilon_0})y$ for some $y \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ and sufficiently small ε_0. By Minkowski inequality,

$$\|\alpha(\sigma_r)\alpha(\phi_{\varepsilon_0})y - \alpha(\phi_{\varepsilon_0})y\|_p \leq \|\sigma_r * \phi_{\varepsilon_0} - \phi_{\varepsilon_0}\|_1 \|y\|_p,$$

and the desired result follows from the easy estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma_r * \phi_{\varepsilon_0} - \phi_{\varepsilon_0}\|_1 &= \| \int_{S_1^n} (\phi_{\varepsilon_0}(rh^{-1}g) - \phi_{\varepsilon_0}(g)) d\sigma_1(h)\|_1 \\ &\leq \int_{S_1^n} \|\phi_{\varepsilon_0}(rh^{-1}g) - \phi_{\varepsilon_0}(g)\|_1 d\sigma_1(h) \leq C_{\phi_{\varepsilon_0}} r.\end{aligned}$$

□

5. Maximal ergodic theorems I

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.5. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau, H^n, \alpha)$ be a W^*-dynamical system. The main idea is to firstly embedd $\alpha(\sigma_r)x$ for x in some nice dense subspace of $L_1(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}$ into an analytic family of operator-valued functions $M^a\alpha(\sigma_r)x$ ($a \in \mathbb{C}$) with $M^0\alpha(\sigma_r)x = \alpha(\sigma_r)x$, and then use Stein's analytic interpolation theorem.

To find the nice dense subspace, we need to introduce a space of C^∞ vector associated to the action α of H^n, which is norm dense in the noncommutative L_p space. For the W^*-dynamical system $(\mathcal{M}, \tau, H^n, \alpha)$ with $\mathcal{M}$ and H^n separable, by Theorem 5.5 of [27], there exist a C^*-dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \tau, H^n, \alpha)$ such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}''$. The nice dense subspace is defined as

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \int_{H^n} \phi(g)\alpha_g x dg : \ \phi \in C_c^\infty(H^n), \ x \in \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

The space $\mathcal{D}$ is contained in the C^∞-class $\mathcal{A}^\infty$ in the terminology from noncommutative geometry (see e.g. [5]), since for any $x = \int_{H^n} \phi(g)\alpha_g y dg$ with some $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(H^n)$, the function

$$h \to \alpha_h x = \int_{H^n} \phi(h^{-1}g)\alpha_g y dg$$

is a C^∞ map from H^n to the norm space $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, for such a fixed $x \in \mathcal{D}$, the map given by

$$r \to \alpha(\sigma_r)x = \int_{H^n} (\phi * \sigma_r)\alpha_g y$$

is a C^∞ map from $\mathbb{R}_+$ to the norm space $\mathcal{A}$, namely $(1/\delta)(\alpha(\sigma_{r+\delta})x - \alpha(\sigma_r)x)$ converges in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ to an operator denoted by $(d/dr)\alpha(\sigma_r)x$ and similarly $(d^m/dr^m)\alpha(\sigma_r)x$ with $m \geq 1$ exist as an operator in $\mathcal{A}$.

Now we embedd $\alpha(\sigma_r)x$ for $x \in \mathcal{D}$ into an analytic family of operators using the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operators. More precisely, for $x \in \mathcal{D}$, we consider the smooth operator-valued function on $\mathbb{R}_+$: $F_x(r) = \alpha(\sigma_r)x$. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $Re(a) > 0$, the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of $F_x(r)$ are defined by

$$I^a F_x(r) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^r (r-s)^{a-1} F_x(s) ds.$$

The normalized fractional integrals are defined by

$$M^a F_x(r) = r^{-a} I^a F_x(r).$$

These operator-valued fractional integrals have an analytic continuation to $a \in \mathbb{C}$ (see e.g. the lemma in Page 77 of [28]). The family of operators I^a satisfy the functional equation $I^a I^b F_x = I^{a+b} F_x$ and $I^0 F_x = F_x$. Clearly, by definition $I^1 F_x(r) = \int_0^r F_x(s) ds$. It follows that

$$I^{-1} F_x(r) = \frac{d}{dr} F_x(r)$$

and more generally for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$M^{-k} F_x(r) = r^k \frac{d^k}{dr^k} F_x(r.)$$

After these preparations, Theorem 2.5 follows from the following two propositions.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $n \geq 1$. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $Re(a) \geq 1$, we have*

$$\|\sup_{r>0}{}^+ M^a F_x(r)\|_p \leq C_p e^{\pi |Im(a)|} \|x\|_p, \ \forall x \in L_p(\mathcal{M}). \tag{5.1}$$

Proposition 5.2. *Let $n > 1$ be the dimension of the Heisenberg group and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. The following inequality holds*

$$\|\sup_{r>0}{}^+ M^a F_x(r)\|_2 \leq C e^{\pi |Im(a)|} \|x\|_2, \ \forall x \in \mathcal{D} \tag{5.2}$$

provided $-n+1+1/2 < Re(a) < -n+2$.

The two propositions will be shown in the following two subsections. Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 2.5 using Stein's analytic interpolation theorem. Here we present a more general result which implies Theorem 2.5 when $a = 0$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $n > 1$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $-n+1+1/2 < Re(a) < 1$. Then for any $(2n-1)/(2n-2+Re(a)) < p \le \infty$, we have*

$$\|\sup_{r>0}{}^{+} M^a F_x(r)\|_p \le C_{p,a}\|x\|_p,\ \forall x \in L_p(\mathcal{M}). \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove this result in the case $p \le 2$, since the results in the case $p > 2$ can be obtained by complex interpolation from the result in the case $p = 2$ and the trivial result in the case $p = \infty$. For $\theta \in [0,1]$ and $q \in [1,2]$, define the index p as a function $p(\theta, q)$ on $[0,1] \times [1,2]$ satisfying the following relationship

$$\frac{1}{p(\theta,q)} = \frac{1-\theta}{2} + \frac{\theta}{q}.$$

It is easy to check that for fixed $\theta \in (0,1)$, $p(\theta,q)$ is a strictly increasing function associated to q and $p(\theta,(1,2]) = (p(\theta,1),2]$. For fixed $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $-n+1+1/2 < Re(a) < 1$, we define θ_a such that

$$Re(a) = (1-\theta_a)(-n+1+1/2) + \theta_a$$

and $p_a = p(\theta_a, 1)$. It is easy to check that $p_a = (2n-1)/(2n-2+Re(a))$.

Let p such that $p_a < p \le 2$. Then we can find θ smaller than but close to θ_a, $q \in (1,2]$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $-n+1+1/2 < b < \min(-n+2, Re(a))$, and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $c \ge 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{2} + \frac{\theta}{q},\ Re(a) = (1-\theta)\cdot b + \theta \cdot c.$$

Let $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ and $y = (y_r)_{r>0}$ with $\|x\|_p < 1$ and $\|(y_r)_r\|_{L_{p'}(\ell_1)} < 1$. Define

$$f(z) = u|x|^{\frac{p(1-z)}{2} + \frac{pz}{q}},\ \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$$

where $x = u|x|$ is the polar decomposition of x. On the other hand, by the complex interpolation of the space $L_{p'}(\ell_1)$ (see e.g. Proposition 2.5 of [17]). there is a function $g = (g_r)_{r>0}$ continuous on the strip $\{z :\ 0 \le Re(z) \le 1\}$ and analytic in the interior such that $g(\theta) = y$ and

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \max\{\|g(it)\|_{L_2(\ell_1)}, \|g(1+it)\|_{L_{q'}(\ell_1)}\} < 1.$$

Now define

$$G(z) = \exp(\delta(z^2-\theta^2)) \sum_r \tau(M^{(1-z)b+zc+iIm(a)} F_{f(z)}(r) g_r(z))$$

where $\delta > 0$ is a constant to be specified. G is a function analytic in the open strip. Applying Proposition 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned}|G(it)| &\le \exp(\delta(-t^2-\theta^2))\|(M^{b+i(tc-tb+Im(a))}F_{f(it)}(r))_r\|_{L_2(\ell_\infty)}\|g(it)\|_{L_2(\ell_1)}\\ &\le C_a\exp(\delta(-t^2-\theta^2))\exp(\pi|tc-tb+Im(a))\|f(it)\|_2\\ &\le C_a\exp(\delta(-t^2-\theta^2)+\pi|tc-tb+Im(a)|).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by Proposition 5.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}|G(1+it)| &\le \exp(\delta(-(1+it)^2-\theta^2))\|(M^{c+i(tc-tb+Im(a))}F_{f(1+it)}(r))_r\|_{L_q(\ell_\infty)}\\ &\qquad\times\|g(1+it)\|_{L_{q'}(\ell_1)}\\ &\le C_{a,q}\exp(\delta(1-t^2-\theta^2))\exp(\pi|tc-tb+Im(a)|)\|f(1+it)\|_q\\ &\le C_{a,q}\exp(\delta(1-t^2-\theta^2)+\pi|tc-tb+Im(a)|).\end{aligned}$$

Taking δ big enough, we have

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\max\{|G(it)|,|G(1+it)|\} < C_{a,p}.$$

Consequently, by the maximum principle, $|G(\theta)| < C_{a,p}$, that is

$$\Big|\sum_r \tau(M^aF_x(r)y_r)\Big| < C_{a,p},$$

which implies the desired result. □

5.1. **Proof of Proposition 5.1.** Inequality (5.1) follows from the particular case $a = 1$—Theorem 5.4 below.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume $x \in L_p^+(\mathcal{M})$. Let $(y_r)_{r>0} \subset L_{p'}^+(\mathcal{M})$ where p' is the conjugate index of p. Use the standard estimates of the Γ-function (see e.g. Page 79 of [28]),

$$\Big|\frac{\Gamma(Re(a))}{\Gamma(a)}\Big| \le C_a e^{\pi|Im(a)|},$$

by Theorem 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\tau(M^aF_x(r)y_r)| &= \Big|\frac{1}{r^a}\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)}\int_0^r (r-s)^{a-1}\tau(F_x(s)y_r)ds\Big|\\ &\le \frac{1}{r^{Re(a)}}\frac{C_ae^{\pi|Im(a)|}}{\Gamma(Re(a))}\int_0^r (r-s)^{Re(a)-1}\tau(F_x(s)y_r)ds\\ &\le C_ae^{\pi|Im(a)|}\frac{1}{r}\int_0^r \tau(F_x(s)y_r)ds = C_ae^{\pi|Im(a)|}\tau(\alpha(u_r)x\cdot y_r).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{r>0}|\tau(M^aF_x(r)y_r)| \le C_ae^{\pi|Im(a)|}\sum_{r>0}\tau(\alpha(u_r)x\cdot y_r).$$

Taking supremum over all $(y_r)_r \subset L_{p'}^+(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\|\sum_r y_r\|_{p'} \leq 1$, and using the fact that each element in the unit ball of $L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_1)$ is a sum of eight positive elements in the same ball (see Proposition 2.1 in [17]) and Theorem 5.4, we deduce the assertion. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\mu_r = (1/r)\int_0^r \sigma_s ds$ denote the uniform averages of the spherical means on H^n with $n \geq 1$. Then for $1 < p \leq \infty$, we have*

$$\|\sup_{r>0}{}^+ \alpha(\mu_r)x\|_p \leq C_{p,n}\|x\|_p, \ \forall x \in L_p(\mathcal{M}). \tag{5.4}$$

For the proof, we need the noncommutative Dunford-Schwartz maximal ergodic theorem [17].

Lemma 5.5. *Let $(T_t)_{t>0}$ be a strong continuous semigroup of linear maps on $\mathcal{M}$. Each T_t for $t \geq 0$ satisfies the following properties:*

(i) *T_t is a contraction on $\mathcal{M}$: $\|T_t x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_\infty$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$;*
(ii) *T_t is positive: $T_t x \geq 0$ if $x \geq 0$;*
(iii) *$\tau \circ T_t \leq \tau$: $\tau(T_t(x)) \leq \tau(x)$ for all $x \in L_1(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}^+$.*

Let $1 < p \leq \infty$, then we have

$$\|\sup_{t>0}{}^+ M_t(x)\|_p \leq C_p\|x\|_p, \ \ \forall x \in L_p(\mathcal{M}), \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$M_t(x) = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t T_s ds.$$

The proof of Theorem 5.4 is given as follows.

Proof. Fix $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$. For an action α of H^n, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\mu_r)x &= \frac{1}{r}\int_0^r \alpha(\sigma_s)x ds \\ &= \frac{1}{r}\int_0^r \int_{S_s^n} \alpha(g)x d\sigma_s(g) ds \\ &= \int_{S_1^n} \frac{1}{r}\int_0^r \alpha(sv)x ds d\sigma_1(v).\end{aligned}$$

Hence by triangle inequality

$$\|\sup_{r>0}{}^+ \alpha(\mu_r)x\|_p \leq \int_{S_1^n} \|\sup_{r>0}{}^+ \frac{1}{r}\int_0^r \alpha(sv)x ds\|_p d\sigma_1(v).$$

Fix $v \in S_1^n$, $\frac{1}{r}\int_0^r \alpha(sv)ds$ are the ergodic averages M_r of the semigroup $(T_s)_{s>0}$ defined as $T_s x = \alpha(sv)x$. The desired result follows from Lemma 5.5 since this semigroup satisfies (i)-(iii) in the lemma. □

Remark 5.6. It is easy to observe that the same argument works also for the the uniform averages of the spherical means on $\bar{H}^n$. Thus inequality (5.4) remains true if we replace μ_r by $\bar{\mu}_r$ defined as

$$\bar{\mu}_r = (1/r)\int_0^r \bar{\sigma}_s ds.$$

5.2. **Proof of Proposition 5.2.** This proposition will be shown by two steps. The first step is the following noncommutative Littlewood-Paley g-function in $L_2(\mathcal{M})$.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $n > 1$. For any integer $1 \le m \le n-1$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}$, define*

$$g_m(x) = \Big(\int_0^\infty r^{2m-1} \big|\frac{d^m}{dr^m}\alpha(\sigma_r)x\big|^2 dr\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then we have $\|g_m(x)\|_2 \le C_m\|x\|_2$.

This lemma can be deduced from the following equality

$$\big\|\frac{d^m}{dr^m}\alpha(\sigma_r)x\big\|_2^2 = \int_{\Sigma_\alpha} \big|\frac{d^m}{dr^m}\varphi_\zeta(r)\big|^2\langle de_\zeta x, x\rangle,$$

and the spectral estimates—Lemma 4.4 of [24]: For $1 \le m \le n-1$ with $n \ge 2$, we have

$$\sup_{\zeta\in\Sigma}\int_0^\infty \Big|\frac{d^m}{dr^m}\varphi_\zeta(r)\Big|^2 r^{2m-1}dr \le C_m(n),$$

where $C_m(n)$ depends only on the algebra $M(H^n, K)$. We omit the details.

This lemma, together with Theorem 5.4, implies the second step —the following maximal inequality for fractional averages in the integer case.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $n > 1$. Then we have*

$$\|\sup_{r>0}{}^+ M^{-n+2}F_x(r)\|_2 \le C\|x\|_2,\ \forall x \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. We first prove this result in the case $n = 2$. Then the cases $n \ge 3$ are proved using induction. Without loss of generality, we assume $x \in L_2^+(\mathcal{M})\cap\mathcal{D}$.

The case $n = 2$. As in [23] we try to compare $\alpha(\sigma_t)$ with $\alpha(\mu_r)$ in order to make use of the maximal inequality for $\alpha(\mu_r)$. Fix $y \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$, and consider the differentiable scalar function $f_{x,y}(r) = \tau(\alpha(\sigma_r)(x)y^*)$. Using integration by parts, by (4.2), we obtain the equality

$$\int_0^r sf'_{x,y}(s)ds = rf_{x,y}(r) - \int_0^r f_{x,y}(s)ds.$$

This equality is valid for all $y \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$, hence it also holds in L_2 for the operator-valued function $F_x(r) = \alpha(\sigma_r)x$. Therefore, dividing by r, we get

$$\alpha(\sigma_r)x - \alpha(\mu_r)x = \frac{1}{r}\int_0^r s\frac{d}{ds}\alpha(\sigma_s)xds.$$

Now using the fact $x \leq |x|$ for a self-adjoint operator, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\sigma_r)x - \alpha(\mu_r)x &\leq \left(\Big|\frac{1}{r}\int_0^r s\frac{d}{ds}\alpha(\sigma_s)xds\Big|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{r^2}\int_0^r sds\int_0^r s\Big|\frac{d}{ds}\alpha(\sigma_s)x\Big|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\int_0^r s\Big|\frac{d}{ds}\alpha(\sigma_s)x\Big|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g_1(x).\end{aligned}$$

We have used the Kadison-Schwarz inequality in the second inequality as well as Löwner-Heinz inequality in the second and the third inequality. Then we finish the proof in this case by triangle inequality in $L_2(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty)$, Theorem 5.4 and Lemma 5.7.

The case $n \geq 3$. Using integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^r s^{n-1}\frac{d^{n-1}}{ds^{n-1}}F_x(s)ds &= s^{n-1}\frac{d^{n-2}}{ds^{n-2}}F_x(s)\Big|_0^r - \int_0^r (n-1)s^{n-2}\frac{d^{n-2}}{ds^{n-2}}F_x(s)ds \\ &= r^{n-1}\frac{d^{n-2}}{dr^{n-2}}F_x(r) - \int_0^r (n-1)s^{n-2}\frac{d^{n-2}}{ds^{n-2}}F_x(s)ds.\end{aligned}$$

Dividing by t on both sides, by Kadison-Schwarz inequality and Löwner-Heinz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}r^{n-2}\frac{d^{n-2}}{dr^{n-2}}F_x(r) &= \frac{1}{r}\int_0^r s^{n-1}\frac{d^{n-1}}{ds^{n-1}}F_x(s)ds + \frac{1}{r}\int_0^r (n-1)s^{n-2}\frac{d^{n-2}}{ds^{n-2}}F_x(s)ds \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{r^2}\int_0^r sds\int_0^r s^{2n-3}\Big|\frac{d^{n-1}}{ds^{n-1}}\alpha(\sigma_s)x\Big|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\qquad + (n-1)\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\int_0^r sds\int_0^r s^{2n-5}\Big|\frac{d^{n-2}}{ds^{n-2}}\alpha(\sigma_s)x\Big|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g_{n-1}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(n-1)g_{n-2}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, Lemma 5.7 implies the desired result by the triangle inequality in $L_2(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty)$. □

Remark 5.9. The arguments in the case $n = 2$ have already provided the proof of the spherical maximal inequality in L_2 for dimension $n \geq 2$,

$$\|\sup_{r>0}{}^+\alpha(\sigma_r)x\|_2 \leq C\|x\|_2,\ \forall x \in L_2(\mathcal{M}).$$

The following result has been obtained in Lemma 5.7 of [17].

Lemma 5.10. *Let $x = (x_s)_s \in L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty)$ and $(z_{r,s})_{r,s} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$\left\|\Big(\int_0^\infty z_{r,s}x_s ds\Big)_r\right\|_{L_p(\ell_\infty)} \leq \sup_r\Big(\int_0^\infty |z_{r,s}|ds\Big)\|(x_s)_s\|_{L_p(\ell_\infty)}$$

Now we are ready to give the proof of Proposition 5.2.

Proof. Assume $x \in L_2^+(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{D}$. Given $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $-n+1+1/2 < Re(a) < -n+2$, we can write $a = -\delta - n + 2 + i\gamma$ with $0 < \delta = -n+2-Re(a) < 1/2$. By semigroup property of the fractional integrals, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I^{-\delta+i\gamma-n+2}F_x(r) &= I^{-\delta+i\gamma}I^{-n+2}F_x(r) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\delta+i\gamma)}\int_0^{r/2}(r-s)^{-\delta-1+i\gamma}I^{-n+2}F_x(s)ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\delta+i\gamma)}(r/2)^{-\delta+i\gamma}I^{-n+2}F_x(r/2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\delta+i\gamma)}\int_{r/2}^{r}(r-s)^{-\delta+i\gamma}\frac{d}{ds}I^{-n+2}F_x(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying $r^{\delta-i\gamma+n-2}$ on both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &M^{-\delta+i\gamma-n+2}F_x(r) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\delta+i\gamma)}\int_0^{r/2} r^{\delta-i\gamma}(r-s)^{-\delta-1+i\gamma}M^{-n+2}F_x(s)ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\delta+i\gamma)}(1/2)^{-\delta+i\gamma}M^{-n+2}F_x(r/2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\delta+i\gamma)}\int_{r/2}^{r}(r-s)^{-\delta+i\gamma}r^{\delta-1/2+i\gamma}r^{n-3/2}I^{-n+1}F_x(s)ds \\ &= I_r(x) + II_r(x) + III_r(x). \end{aligned}$$

The second term is trivially estimated by Lemma 5.8, hence

$$\|(II_r(x))_{r>0}\|_{L_2(\ell_\infty)} \le c_{n,\delta}\|x\|_2.$$

The first term follows from Lemma 5.10 and 5.8, since $-\delta+i\gamma$ is not a pole of $\Gamma(z)$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|\Gamma(-\delta+i\gamma)|}\int_0^{r/2}|r^{\delta-i\gamma}(r-s)^{-\delta-1+i\gamma}|ds \\ &\le c_\gamma\frac{1}{|\Gamma(-\delta)|}\int_0^{1/2}(1-s)^{-\delta-1}ds < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Using the duality argument as in the proof of Proposition 5.1, the $L_p(\ell_\infty)$-norm of $III_r(x)$ is controlled by the $L_p(\ell_\infty)$-norm of the following sequence modulo a constant depending on γ,

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\delta)}\int_{r/2}^{r}(r-s)^{-\delta}r^{\delta-1/2}r^{n-3/2}|I^{-n+1}F_x(s)|ds.$$

By Kadison-Schwarz inequality and Löwner-Heinz inequality, noting that $r \leq 2s$, the previous quantity is controlled by the following expression

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\delta)}\left(r^{2\delta-1}\int_{r/2}^{r}(r-s)^{-2\delta}ds\int_{r/2}^{r}r^{2n-3}\left|I^{-n+1}F_x(s)\right|^2ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\leq c_\delta\left(\int_{r/2}^{r}s^{2n-3}\left|I^{-n+1}F_x(s)\right|^2ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq c_\delta g_{n-1}(x).$$

Hence by Lemma 5.7,

$$\|(III_r(x))_{r>0}\|_{L_2(\ell_\infty)}\leq c_{n,a}\|x\|_2.$$

□

6. Maximal ergodic theorems II

In this section, we show the noncommutative maximal ergodic theorem for the W^*-dynamical system $(\mathcal{M},\tau,\bar{H}^n,\alpha)$. The following transference principle whose proof will appear in the subsequent work [10] reduces the maximal ergodic theorem for the abstract dynamical system to the specific one $(L_\infty(\bar{H}^n)\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{M},\int\otimes\tau,\bar{H}^n,T\otimes id_{\mathcal{M}})$, where T is the translation on $\bar{H}^n$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $1<p\leq\infty$. If*

$$\|\sup_{r\geq1}{}^+f*\bar{\sigma}_r\|_p\leq C_p\|f\|_p,\ \forall f\in L_p(L_\infty(\bar{H}^n)\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{M}),$$

then we have

$$\|\sup_{r\geq1}{}^+\alpha(\bar{\sigma}_r)x\|_p\leq C_p\|x\|_p,\ \forall x\in L_p(\mathcal{M}).$$

For this particular dynamical system, every operator-valued function $f\in L_2(\mathcal{M})$ can be rewritten as $f=f_B+f_L$. Here the spectral measure of f_B is supported in Σ_B, while the spectral measure of f_L is supported in Σ_L. Moreover, by [13] (see also [24]), we have an explicit formula of f_B,

$$f_B(z,t)=\mathcal{E}f(z,t)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}f(z,s)ds.$$

Clearly, this averaging operator $\mathcal{E}$ can be extended contractively to all $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ with $1\leq p\leq\infty$. Hence the operator $I-\mathcal{E}$ is bounded on all $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ with $1\leq p\leq\infty$. Therefore for each $f\in L_p(\mathcal{M})$, we can write $f=f_B+f_L=\mathcal{E}f+(I-\mathcal{E})f$ with $f_B,f_L\in L_p(\mathcal{M})$.

Let $n>1$ and $2n/(2n-1)<p\leq\infty$. Theorem 2.6 follows from the following two inequalities by triangle inequality:

$$\|\sup_{r>0}{}^+f_B*\bar{\sigma}_r\|_p\leq C_p\|f_B\|_p \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$\|\sup_{r\geq 1}{}^{+} f_L * \bar{\sigma}_r\|_p \leq C_p \|f_L\|_p. \tag{6.2}$$

Since f_B does not depend on the central variable, it can be identified with a function $\tilde{f}_B$ on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Furthermore, it is trivial that $f_B * \bar{\sigma}_r = \tilde{f}_B * \tilde{\sigma}_r$, where $\tilde{\sigma}_r$ are the sphere averages on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Hence inequality (6.1) follows from Proposition 4.1 in [9].

To deal with inequality (6.2), we shall again embed $f_L * \bar{\sigma}_r$ into an analytic family of operator-valued functions and using analytic interpolation arguments. As in the case $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{C}$ in Page 327 of [24], we have the following explicit expression of $f * \bar{\sigma}_r$,

$$f * \bar{\sigma}_r(z,t) = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k^{n-1}(\sqrt{|\lambda|}r) f^\lambda *_\lambda \varphi_k^\lambda(z) \right) e^{-i\lambda t}, \tag{6.3}$$

for all $f = f_L$ belonging to $\mathscr{S}(H^n) \otimes S_{\mathcal{N}}$, which is dense in all $L_p(\mathcal{M})$. In the sequel, a nice function always means a function in this class. The notations are explained as follows. The expression (6.3) can be deduced as follows. Note first that

$$f * \bar{\sigma}_r(z,t) = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}} (f * \bar{\sigma}_r)^\lambda(z) e^{-i\lambda t},$$

where $f^\lambda(z)$ stands for the partial inverse Fourier transform

$$f^\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z,t) e^{i\lambda t} dt. \tag{6.4}$$

Now an easy calculation shows that

$$(f * \bar{\sigma}_r)^\lambda(z) = f^\lambda *_\lambda \bar{\sigma}_r(z)$$

where $*_\lambda$ is the λ-twisted convolution for functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by

$$g *_\lambda h(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}_n} g(z-\omega) h(\omega) e^{i\frac{\lambda}{2} Im(z\cdot\bar{\omega})} d\omega. \tag{6.5}$$

As seen from Theorem 4.1 of [33], for operator-valued functions, we also have

$$f^\lambda *_\lambda \bar{\sigma}_r(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k^{n-1}(\sqrt{|\lambda|}r) f^\lambda *_\lambda \varphi_k^\lambda(z)$$

where $\varphi_k^\lambda(z)$ is the dilated Laguerre function

$$\varphi_k^\lambda(z) = L_k^{n-1}\Big(\frac{1}{2}|\lambda||z|^2\Big) e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\lambda||z|^2}$$

with L_k^{n-1} being Laguerre polynomials of type $n-1$, and $\psi_k^{n-1}(r)$, or more generally, $\psi_k^a(r)$ is the normalized Laguerre function

$$\psi_k^a(r) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(a+1)}{\Gamma(k+a+1)} L_k^a\Big(\frac{1}{2}r^2\Big) e^{-\frac{1}{4}r^2}.$$

Note that the Laguerre functions ψ_k^a are defined even for complex a with $Re(a) > -1$. In this section, we embed $\alpha(\sigma_r)$ into an analytic family of operator-valued functions using ψ_k^a instead of using Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals. More precisely, we consider the following analytic family of operators

$$\bar{M}_r^a f(z,t) = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k^{n-1+a}(\sqrt{|\lambda|}r) f^\lambda *_\lambda \varphi_k^\lambda(z) \right) e^{-i\lambda t}. \tag{6.6}$$

From this expression, $\bar{M}_r^a f$ with $Re(a) > -n+1-1/3$ is well-defined in $L_2(\mathcal{M})$ for a nice function f, since it is known from Szegö [32] that a uniform estimate holds in this case, that is

$$\sup_{k\geq 0}\sup_{r>0} |\psi_k^{n-1+a}(r)| \leq C$$

for all $Re(a) > -n+1-1/3$.

With above preparations, we formulate the main result of this section is stated as follows.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $n > 1$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $-n+1 < Re(a) < 1$. Then for any $2n/(2n-1+Re(a)) < p \leq \infty$, we have*

$$\|\sup_{r>0}{}^{+} \bar{M}_r^a f\|_p \leq C_{p,a}\|f\|_p,\ \forall f = f_L \in L_p(\mathcal{M}). \tag{6.7}$$

In particular, when $a = 0$, we obtain inequality (6.2), thus finish the proof of Theorem 2.6. This theorem can be deduced from the same analytic interpolation argument used in the proof of Theorem 5.3 based on the following two propositions. The first one corresponds to Proposition 5.4.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $n \geq 1$. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $Re(a) \geq 1$, we have*

$$\|\sup_{r>0}{}^{+} \bar{M}_r^a f\|_p \leq C_{p,a}\|f\|_p,\ \forall f = f_L \in L_p(\mathcal{M}). \tag{6.8}$$

The second one corresponds to Proposition 5.2. It is this proposition that provides the optimal scale of p in Theorem 6.2.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $n > 1$ be the dimension of the reduced Heisenberg group and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. The following inequality holds*

$$\|\sup_{r\geq 1}{}^{+} \bar{M}_r^a f\|_2 \leq C_a\|f\|_2,\ \forall f = f_L \in L_2(\mathcal{M}). \tag{6.9}$$

provided $Re(a) > -n+1$.

6.1. **Proof of Proposition 6.3.** In order to prove Proposition 6.3, we rewrite $\bar{M}_r^a f$ for nice band-limited function f in another form. For this, we require the following formula relating Laguerre polynomials of different type:

$$L_k^a(r) = \frac{\Gamma(k+a+1)}{\Gamma(a-b)\Gamma(k+b+1)} \int_0^1 s^b (1-s)^{a-b-1} L_k^b(rs) ds \tag{6.10}$$

holds true for $Re(a-b) > 0$ and $Re(b) > -1$. Defining

$$(P_r f)^\lambda(z) = e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\lambda| r} f^\lambda(z)$$

to be the Poisson integrals of f in the t-variable. Then an easy calculation yields that

$$(\bar{M}_r^a f)^\lambda(z) = L(a,b) \int_0^1 s^{2n+2b-1} (1-s^2)^{a-b-1} e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\lambda|(r^2(1-s^2))} (\bar{M}_{rs}^b f)^\lambda(z) ds$$

where

$$L(a,b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a-b)\Gamma(b+n)},$$

which in turn deduces that

(6.11)

$$\bar{M}_r^a f(z,t) = L(a,b) \int_0^1 s^{2n+2b-1} (1-s^2)^{a-b-1} (P_{r^2(1-s^2)} \bar{M}_{rs}^b f)(z,t) ds.$$

Now, we are at a position to prove Proposition 6.3.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $f = f_L \in L_p^+(\mathcal{M})$. Given $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $Re(a) \geq 1$, we rewrite it as $a = 1 + i\gamma + \delta$ with $\delta \geq 0$. Since for any $r_1, r_2 > 0$, $Re(b) > -n + 1 - 1/3$,

$$P_{r_1} \bar{M}_{r_2}^b f = \bar{M}_{r_2}^b P_{r_1} f, \tag{6.12}$$

by (6.11), $\bar{M}_r^{1+i\gamma+\delta} f(z,t)$ can be expressed as

$$L(1+i\gamma+\delta, 0) \int_0^1 s^{2n-1} (1-s^2)^{i\gamma+\delta} (P_{r^2(1-s^2)} f) * \bar{\sigma}_{rs}(z,t) ds.$$

Now applying a duality argument used in the proof of Proposition 5.1, we obtain that the $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$-norm of $\bar{M}_r^{1+i\gamma+\delta} f$ is controlled modulo a constant depending on a by the $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$-norm of

$$L(1+\delta, 0) \int_0^1 s^{2n-1} (1-s^2)^{\delta} (P_{r^2(1-s^2)} f) * \bar{\sigma}_{rs}(z,t) ds.$$

Using Lemma 5.5 for the Poisson semigroup in the t-variable on $\mathcal{M}$, we can find $F \in L_p^+(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$P_{r^2(1-s^2)} f \leq F, \ \forall r, s \text{ and } \|F\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_p.$$

Hence the $L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty)$-norm of $\bar{M}_r^{1+i\gamma+\delta}f$ is controlled modulo a constant by the $L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_\infty)$-norm of

$$\begin{aligned}
&L(1+\delta,0)\int_0^1 s^{2n-1}(1-s^2)^\delta F*\bar{\sigma}_{rs}(z,t)ds\\
&\le L(1+\delta,0)\frac{1}{r^{2n}}\int_0^r s^{2n-1}F*\bar{\sigma}_s(z,t)ds\\
&\le L(1+\delta,0)\frac{1}{r}\int_0^r F*\bar{\sigma}_s(z,t)ds,
\end{aligned}$$

which, by Remark 5.6, is smaller than $L_p(\mathcal{M})$-norm of F, whence $L_p(\mathcal{M})$-norm of f modulo a constant. Thus we finish the proof. □

6.2. **Proof of Proposition 6.4.** The proof of Proposition 6.4 is much more involved. The following argument is an improvement of the one in the commutative case, i.e. Proposition 6.2 of [24], where they only give a proof in the real number case which apparently is not enough to apply the method of analytic interpolation. The author would like to thank Sundaram Thangavelu for helpful discussion to make a remedy. On the other hand, we need the following noncommutative version of Lemma 1 in Page 499 of [29], which may be useful somewhere else.

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose F is a $S_\mathcal{N}$-valued function on $\mathbb{R}$, which smooth for t in an interval I. Then for each ℓ with $\ell \le |I|$, we have*

$$|F(t)|^2 \le 2\ell^{-1}\int_I |F(s)|^2 ds + 2\ell\int_I |F'(s)|^2 ds \tag{6.13}$$

for each $t \in I$.

Proof. Each $t \in I$ belongs to an interval $I_0 \subset I$ with $|I_0| = \ell$. Observe that

$$F(t) - F(s) = \int_s^t F'(u)du$$

which implies

$$F(t) - \frac{1}{|I_0|}\int_{I_0} F(s)ds = \frac{1}{|I_0|}\int_{I_0}(F(t)-F(s))ds = \frac{1}{|I_0|}\int_{I_0}\int_s^t F'(u)duds.$$

Hence by Kadison-Schwarz's inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}|F(t)|^2 &\le 2\left|\frac{1}{|I_0|}\int_{I_0} F(s)ds\right|^2 + 2\left|\frac{1}{|I_0|}\int_{I_0}\int_s^t F'(u)duds\right|^2\\ &\le 2\frac{1}{|I_0|}\int_{I_0}|F(s)|^2ds + 2\frac{1}{|I_0|}\int_{I_0}\left|\int_s^t F'(u)du\right|^2 ds\\ &\le 2\frac{1}{|I_0|}\int_{I_0}|F(s)|^2ds + 2\frac{1}{|I_0|}\int_{I_0}|t-s|\int_s^t |F'(u)|^2duds\\ &\le 2\ell^{-1}\int_I |F(s)|^2ds + 2\ell\int_I |F'(u)|^2du.\end{aligned}$$

□

Now let us give the proof of Proposition 6.4.

Proof. We decompose Σ_L as follows. For $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, define

$$\Sigma_j = \{(\lambda, k) :\ |\lambda|k \in [2^j, 2^{j+1})\}.$$

Let $\Sigma_{-1} = \{(\lambda, 0) :\ \lambda \neq 0\}$. Then we have $\Sigma_L = \cup_{j\ge -1}\Sigma_j$.

Let $f = f_L$ be a nice function. Write $a = -n + 1 + \delta + i\gamma$. Let E_j be the projection operator corresponding to the set Σ_j. By triangle inequality, it suffice to show that

$$\|\sup_{r\ge 1}{}^+ \bar{M}_r^a E_j f\|_2 \le C_{a,\delta'} 2^{-\delta' j/2}\|f\|_2$$

for some $0 < \delta' < \delta$. By Lemma 2.2, we only need to prove

$$\|\sup_{r\ge 1}{}^+ |\bar{M}_r^a E_j f|^2\|_1^{1/2} \le C_{a,\delta'} 2^{-\delta' j/2}\|f\|_2.$$

Now applying Lemma 6.5, for every $L > 0$, $r \ge 1$, we have

$$|\bar{M}_r^a E_j f|^2 \le 2L^{-1}\int_1^\infty |\bar{M}_r^a E_j f|^2 dr + 2L\int_1^\infty \left|\frac{d}{dr}\bar{M}_r^a E_j f\right|^2 dr.$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that for $(\lambda, k) \in \Sigma_j$,

$$L^{-1}\int_1^\infty |\psi_k^{\delta+i\gamma}(\sqrt{|\lambda|}r)|^2 dr + L\int_1^\infty \left|\frac{d}{dr}(\psi_k^{\delta+i\gamma}(\sqrt{|\lambda|}r))\right|^2 dr \le C_{a,\delta'} 2^{-\delta' j}.$$

Note that when $j = -1$, $\psi_0^a(\sqrt{|\lambda|}r) = e^{-\lambda r^2/4}$. We trivially have the desired estimate. When $j \ge 0$, by the formula (6.10), an easy calculation yields

$$\psi_k^{\delta+i\gamma}(\sqrt{|\lambda|}r) = C(a,\delta')\int_0^1 s^{\delta'}(1-s)^{\delta-\delta'+i\gamma-1} e^{\frac{1}{4}|\lambda| r^2(s-1)}\psi_k^{\delta'}(\sqrt{|\lambda|sr})ds$$

where

$$C(a,\delta') = \frac{\Gamma(\delta+i\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\delta-\delta'+i\gamma)\Gamma(\delta'+1)}.$$

Whence $\frac{d}{dr}\psi_k^{\delta+i\gamma}(\sqrt{|\lambda|}r)$ equals

$$C(a,\delta')\int_0^1 s^{\delta'}(1-s)^{\delta-\delta'+i\gamma-1}(\frac{1}{2}|\lambda|r(s-1))e^{\frac{1}{4}|\lambda|r^2(s-1)}\psi_k^{\delta'}(\sqrt{|\lambda|s}r)ds$$
$$+ \quad C(a,\delta')\int_0^1 s^{\delta'}(1-s)^{\delta-\delta'+i\gamma-1}e^{\frac{1}{4}|\lambda|r^2(s-1)}\frac{d}{dr}(\psi_k^{\delta'}(\sqrt{|\lambda|s}r))ds.$$

For complex number b, denote

$$A(b,k,\lambda)=\left(\int_1^\infty |\psi_k^b(\sqrt{|\lambda|}r)|^2dr\right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$B(b,k,\lambda)=\left(\int_1^\infty \left|\frac{d}{dr}(\psi_k^b(\sqrt{|\lambda|}r))\right|^2dr\right)^{1/2}.$$

We claim that the following two estimates hold for any $\eta>0$, which will be shown later:

$$A^2(\delta',k,\eta)\le C(\eta k)^{-\delta'-1/2} \tag{6.14}$$

and

$$B^2(\delta',k,\eta)\le C(\eta k)^{-\delta'+1/2}. \tag{6.15}$$

Therefore, by Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}A(\delta+i\gamma,k,\lambda)&\le C_{a,\delta'}\int_0^1 s^{\delta'}(1-s)^{\delta-\delta'-1}A(\delta',k,\lambda s)ds\\&\le C_{a,\delta'}\int_0^1 s^{\delta'}(1-s)^{\delta-\delta'-1}(|\lambda|sk)^{-\delta'/2-1/4}ds\\&= C_{a,\delta'}(|\lambda|k)^{-\delta'/2-1/4}\int_0^1 s^{\delta'/2-1/4}(1-s)^{\delta-\delta'-1}ds\\&\le C_{a,\delta'}(|\lambda|k)^{-\delta'/2-1/4}.\end{aligned}$$

Together with the fact $ue^{-u} \leq C$ for any $u > 0$, Minkowski inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
B(\delta + i\gamma, k, \lambda) &\leq C_{a,\delta'} \int_0^1 s^{\delta'}(1-s)^{\delta-\delta'-1} A(\delta', k, \lambda s) ds \\
&\quad + C_{a,\delta'} \int_0^1 s^{\delta'}(1-s)^{\delta-\delta'-1} B(\delta', k, \lambda s) ds \\
&\leq C(a, \delta') \int_0^1 s^{\delta'}(1-s)^{\delta-\delta'-1} (|\lambda| s k)^{-\delta'/2-1/4} ds \\
&\quad + C_{a,\delta'} \int_0^1 s^{\delta'}(1-s)^{\delta-\delta'-1} (|\lambda| s k)^{-\delta'/2+1/4} ds \\
&\leq C_{a,\delta'} (|\lambda| k)^{-\delta'/2-1/4} + C_{a,\delta'} (|\lambda| k)^{-\delta'/2+1/4} \\
&\leq C_{a,\delta'} (|\lambda| k)^{-\delta'/2+1/4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\sup_{r\geq 1}{}^{+} |\bar{M}_r^a E_j f|^2\|_1 \\
&\leq C \sum_{(\lambda,k)\in\Sigma_j} (L^{-1} A^2(\delta + i\gamma, k, \lambda) + L B^2(\delta + i\gamma, k, \lambda)) \langle e_{(\lambda,k)}(f), f\rangle \\
&\leq C_{a,\delta'} \sup_{(\lambda,k)\in\Sigma_j} ((|\lambda| k)^{-\delta'-1/2} L^{-1} + (|\lambda| k)^{-\delta'+1/2} L) \|f\|_2^2 \\
&\leq C_{a,\delta'} 2^{-j\delta'} \|f\|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

by noting the fact that on Σ_j, $|\lambda k| \sim 2^j$ and choosing $L = 2^{-j/2}$.

We finish the proof by establishing the two estimates (6.14) and (6.15). Defining

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(r) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+\delta'+1)}\right)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{r}{2}} r^{\frac{\delta'}{2}} L_k^{\delta'}(r).$$

Then we can write

$$\psi_k^{\delta'}(r) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+\delta'+1)}\right)^{1/2} \Gamma(\delta'+1) \Big(\frac{r^2}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{\delta'}{2}} \mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(\frac{r^2}{2}),$$

and noticing the fact $-\frac{d}{dr}L_k^{\delta'}(r) = -L_{k-1}^{\delta'+1}(r)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dr}\psi_k^{\delta'}(r) &= \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(\delta'+1)}{\Gamma(k+\delta'+1)}e^{-\frac{1}{4}r^2}r\frac{d}{dr}L_k^{\delta'}(\frac{r^2}{2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(\delta'+1)}{\Gamma(k+\delta'+1)}(-\frac{r}{2})e^{-\frac{1}{4}r^2}L_k^{\delta'}(\frac{r^2}{2}) \\ &= -\frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(\delta'+1)}{\Gamma(k+\delta'+1)}\left(\frac{\Gamma(k+\delta')}{\Gamma(k)}\right)^{1/2} r\Big(\frac{r^2}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{\delta'+1}{2}}\mathcal{L}_{k-1}^{\delta'+1}(\frac{r^2}{2}) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+\delta'+1)}\right)^{1/2}\Gamma(\delta'+1)(-\frac{r}{2})\Big(\frac{r^2}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{\delta'}{2}}\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(\frac{r^2}{2})\end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+\delta'+1)} \sim \Gamma(\delta'+1)k^{-\delta'} \text{ and } \frac{d}{dr}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}A^2(\delta',k,\eta) &= \eta^{-1/2}\int_{\sqrt{\eta}}^{\infty}|\psi_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2dr \\ &\le C\Gamma^2(\delta'+1)\eta^{-1/2}k^{-\delta'}\int_{\sqrt{\eta}}^{\infty}\Big|\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(\frac{r^2}{2})\Big|^2\Big(\frac{r^2}{2}\Big)^{-\delta'}dr \\ &\le C_{\delta'}\eta^{-1/2}k^{-\delta'}\int_{\eta/2}^{\infty}|\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2r^{-\delta'-1/2}dr := C_{\delta'}\cdot A.\end{aligned}$$

While

$$\begin{aligned}B^2(\delta',k,\eta) &= \eta^{1/2}\int_{\sqrt{\eta}}^{\infty}|\frac{d}{dr}\psi_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2dr \\ &\le C_{\delta'}\eta^{1/2}k^{-\delta'}\int_{\eta/2}^{\infty}|\mathcal{L}_{k-1}^{\delta'+1}(r)|^2r^{-\delta'-1/2}dr \\ &\quad + C_{\delta'}\eta^{1/2}k^{-\delta'}\int_{\eta/2}^{\infty}|\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2r^{-\delta'+1/2}dr := C_{\delta'}\cdot B.\end{aligned}$$

To proceed with the proof, We need the following asymptotic property of Laguerre function which have been collected in Lemma 1.5.3 of [34].

Lemma 6.6. *Let $k \ge 1$. The Laguerre function satisfy*

$$|\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta}(r)| \le \begin{cases} c(kr)^{\delta/2} & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1/k \\ c(kt)^{-1/4} & \text{if } 1/k \le t \le k/2 \\ ck^{-1/4}(1-|k-t|)^{-1/4} & \text{if } k/2 \le t \le 3k/2 \\ ce^{-\gamma t} & \text{if } t \ge 3k/2 \end{cases}$$

We now estimate A. Let us first deal with the case $\eta \le k$ and $\eta/2 \le 1/k$. Write

$$A = \eta^{-1/2} k^{-\delta'} \int_{\eta/2}^{1/k} + \int_{1/k}^{k/2} + \int_{k/2}^{3k/2} + \int_{3k/2}^{\infty} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr.$$

Using the estimates in Lemma 6.6 and the assumption $\eta/2 \le 1/k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\eta/2}^{1/k} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr &\le C \int_{\eta/2}^{1/k} (kr)^{\delta'} r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr \\ &\le C k^{\delta'} k^{-1/2} \le C \eta^{-\delta'} k^{-1/2},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{1/k}^{k/2} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr &\le C \int_{1/k}^{k/2} (kr)^{-1/2} r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr \\ &\le C k^{\delta'} k^{-1/2} \le C \eta^{-\delta'} k^{-1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

For the third and forth integrals, we use the assumption $\eta \le k$ and the estimates in Lemma 6.6

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{k/2}^{3k/2} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr &\le C \int_{k/2}^{3k/2} k^{-1/2} |k-r|^{-1/2} r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr \\ &\le C k^{-1/2} k^{-\delta'-1/2} k^{1/2} \le C \eta^{-\delta'} k^{-1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{3k/2}^{\infty} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr &\le C \int_{3k/2}^{\infty} e^{-2\gamma r} r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr \\ &\le C k^{-\delta'-1/2} \le C \eta^{-\delta'} k^{-1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

The case $\eta \le k$ and $\eta/2 \ge 1/k$ follows easily, since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\eta/2}^{k/2} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr &\le C \int_{\eta/2}^{k/2} (kr)^{-1/2} r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr \\ &\le C \eta^{-\delta'} k^{-1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

The other two cases $k \le \eta \le 3k$ and $\eta \ge 3k$ can be estimated similarly, and bounded by $C\eta^{-\delta'} k^{-1/2}$. We leave them for the readers. Finally, in all cases, we obtain

$$A \le C(\eta k)^{-\delta'-1/2}$$

and hence prove the estimate (6.14).

The estimate of B can be done similarly. For the first part in B, when $k = 1$, it follows easily since $\mathcal{L}_0^{\delta'+1}(r) = (\Gamma(\delta'+2))^{-1/2} e^{-r/2} r^{\frac{\delta'+1}{2}}$. Hence it suffices to prove

$$\eta^{1/2} k^{-\delta'} \int_{\eta/2}^{\infty} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'+1}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr \le C(\eta k)^{-\delta'+1/2}$$

for $k \geq 1$. We only need to deal with the following estimate in the case $\eta \leq k$ and $\eta/2 \leq 1/k$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\eta/2}^{1/k} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'+1}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr &\leq C \int_{\eta/2}^{1/k} (kr)^{\delta'+1} r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr \\ &\leq Ck^{\delta'+1} k^{-3/2} \leq C\eta^{-\delta'} k^{-1/2},\end{aligned}$$

since other cases follows by the same arguments used in the estimate of A and bounded by $C\eta^{-\delta'}k^{-1/2}$. Therefore

$$\eta^{1/2} k^{-\delta'} \int_{\eta/2}^{\infty} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'+1}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr \leq C\eta^{-\delta'} k^{-1/2} \eta^{1/2} k^{-\delta'} \leq C(\eta k)^{-\delta'+1/2}.$$

The estimate of the second integral in B is dealt with similarly. But the calculation is different, so we give a proof. Write it as

$$\eta^{1/2} k^{-\delta'} \int_{\eta/2}^{1/k} + \int_{1/k}^{k/2} + \int_{k/2}^{3k/2} + \int_{3k/2}^{\infty} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'+1}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'+1/2} dr.$$

Using the estimates in Lemma 6.6 and the assumption $\eta/2 \leq 1/k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\eta/2}^{1/k} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'+1/2} dr &\leq C \int_{\eta/2}^{1/k} (kr)^{\delta'} r^{-\delta'+1/2} dr \\ &\leq Ck^{\delta'} k^{-3/2} \leq C\eta^{-\delta'} k^{1/2},\end{aligned}$$

and together with $\eta \leq k$,

$$\int_{1/k}^{k/2} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'+1/2} dr \leq C \int_{1/k}^{k/2} (kr)^{-1/2} r^{-\delta'+1/2} dr$$

which is smaller than

$$Ck^{-1/2} k^{1-\delta'} \leq k^{1/2} \eta^{-\delta'} \text{ if } \delta' \leq 1$$

and

$$Ck^{-1/2} k^{-1+\delta'} \leq k^{1/2} \eta^{-\delta'} \text{ if } \delta' \geq 1$$

For the third and forth integrals, we use the assumption $\eta \leq k$ and the estimates in Lemma 6.6

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{k/2}^{3k/2} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'+1/2} dr &\leq C \int_{k/2}^{3k/2} k^{-1/2} |k-r|^{-1/2} r^{-\delta'+1/2} dr \\ &\leq Ck^{-1/2} k^{-\delta'+1/2} k^{1/2} \leq C\eta^{-\delta'} k^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{3k/2}^{\infty} |\mathcal{L}_k^{\delta'}(r)|^2 r^{-\delta'-1/2} dr &\leq C \int_{3k/2}^{\infty} e^{-2\gamma r} r^{-\delta'+1/2} dr \\ &\leq Ck^{-\delta'+1/2} \leq C\eta^{-\delta'} k^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Other cases is estimated similarly. Hence we obtain that the second integral in B is also bounded by $C(\eta k)^{-\delta'+1/2}$, thus conclude that

$$B \leq C(\eta k)^{-\delta'+1/2}.$$

□

7. Individual ergodic theorems

In this section we apply the maximal inequality to study pointwise convergence. We first need an appropriate analogue for the noncommutative setting of the usual almost everywhere convergence. This is the almost uniform convergence introduced by Lance [18] (see also [17]).

Let $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be a family of elements in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$. Recall that $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is said to converge almost uniformly to x, abbreviated by $x_\lambda \xrightarrow{a.u} x$, if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\tau(1-e) < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_\lambda \|e(x_\lambda - x)\|_\infty = 0.$$

Also, $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is said to converge bilaterally almost uniformly to x, abbreviated by $x_\lambda \xrightarrow{b.a.u} x$, if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\tau(1-e) < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_\lambda \|e(x_\lambda - x)e\|_\infty = 0.$$

Obviously, if $x_\lambda \xrightarrow{a.u} x$ then $x_\lambda \xrightarrow{b.a.u} x$. On the other hand, in the commutative case, these two convergences are equivalent to the usual almost everywhere convergence in terms of Egorov's theorem. However they are different in the noncommutative setting.

As in [17], in order to deduce the pointwise convergence theorems from the corresponding maximal inequalities, it is convenient to use the closed subspace $L_p(\mathcal{M}; c_0)$ of $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$. Recall that $L_p(\mathcal{M}; c_0)$ is defined as the space of all sequences $(x_n) \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ such that there are $a, b \in L_{2p}(\mathcal{M})$ and $(y_n) \subset \mathcal{M}$ verifying

$$x_n = a y_n b \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_n \|y_n\|_\infty = 0.$$

Similarly, for the study of the $a.u$ convergence, we use the closed subspace $L_p(\mathcal{M}; c_0^c)$ of $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty^c)$, which is defined to be the space of all sequences $(x_n) \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ such that there are $b \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ and $(y_n) \subset \mathcal{M}$ verifying

$$x_n = y_n b \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_n \|y_n\|_\infty = 0.$$

The following lemma will be useful for our study of pointwise convergence theorems in noncommutative setting (see [6]).

Lemma 7.1. (i) *If $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\{x_n\} \in L_p(\mathcal{M}; c_0)$, then $x_n \xrightarrow{b.a.u} 0$.*
(ii) *If $2 < p < \infty$ and $\{x_n\} \in L_p(\mathcal{M}; c_0^c)$, then $x_n \xrightarrow{a.u} 0$.*

The second lemma we need is about the uniform pointwise estimates for the spherical functions, which will be used to deduce individual ergodic theorem on dense subspaces.

Lemma 7.2. (i). *Let $n \geq 1$, fix $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r > 1$. Let $\Sigma_{\varepsilon,N} = \{(\lambda, k) : \varepsilon \leq |\lambda| \leq N,\ k \leq N\}$. Then*

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon,N}} |\varphi_\zeta(r)| \leq Ce^{-\gamma\varepsilon r^2}, \tag{7.1}$$

where C and γ are positive constants independent of r.

(ii). *Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $\Sigma'_\varepsilon = \{u : \varepsilon \leq u \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\}$. Then*

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \Sigma'_\varepsilon} |\varphi_\zeta(r)| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3} r^{-n+1/2}, \tag{7.2}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of r.

The estimates in (i) follows from the asymptotic properties of Laguerre polynomials of type $n-1$, see e.g. formula 5.1.14 of [32]. The estimates in (ii) follows from the standard expansion of the Bessel functions at infinity. See for instance Section 3 of [24] for detailed information.

Now let us present the main result in this section.

Theorem 7.3. *Let $n > 1$. Let $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$. We have*

(i) *The family $\alpha(\sigma_r)x$ converges to $F(x)$ b.a.u. for $(2n-1)/(2n-2) < p \leq 2$ and a.u. for $2 < p < \infty$ as $r \to \infty$.*

(ii) *The family $\alpha(\sigma_r)x$ converges to x b.a.u. for $(2n-1)/(2n-2) < p \leq 2$ and a.u. for $2 < p < \infty$ as $r \to 0$.*

(iii) *The family $\alpha(\bar{\sigma}_r)x$ converges to $F(x)$ b.a.u. for $2n/(2n-1) < p \leq 2$ and a.u. for $2 < p < \infty$ as $r \to \infty$.*

Proof. (i). Let us first prove the case $p = 2$. Note that $L_2(\mathcal{M})$ is the orthogonal sum of three closed subspace: $\mathcal{H}_1 =$ the space of operators invariant under each $\alpha(\sigma_r)$, $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma =$ the space of operators whose spectral measure is supported in the union of the Laguerre and Bessel spectrum, and finally, $\mathcal{H}_0 =$ the space of operators in the kernel of each $\alpha(\sigma_r)$. Clearly, in the first and the third subspace we have $\alpha(\sigma_r)x - F(x) = 0$. Hence by Lemma 7.1, it suffices to prove $(\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{r>1} \in L_2(\mathcal{M}; c_0)$ for $x \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma$. By the maximal ergodic theorem—Theorem 5.3, it suffices to prove $(\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{r>1} \in L_2(\mathcal{M}; c_0)$ for x in some dense set of $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma$. Indeed, suppose we have $(\alpha(\sigma_r)y)_{r>1} \in L_2(\mathcal{M}; c_0)$ for all y in a dense set of $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma$. Then for fixed $x \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma$, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a y in the dense subset of $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma$, such that $\|x - y\|_2 \leq \delta$. Therefore by maximal inequality (5.3)

$$\|(\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{r>1} - (\alpha(\sigma_r)y)_{r>1}\|_{L_2(\ell_\infty)} \leq C\|x - y\|_2 \leq C\delta.$$

Since δ is arbitrary, $(\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{r>1}$ is in the closure of $L_2(\mathcal{M}; c_0)$, thus belongs to $L_2(\mathcal{M}; c_0)$ because $L_2(\mathcal{M}; c_0)$ is closed.

For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N > 0$, let $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^N$ be the subspace of operators $x \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$ whose spectral measure $\langle de(x), x\rangle$ is supported in $\Sigma_{\varepsilon,N}$ defined in Lemma 7.2 and $\mathcal{H}'_\varepsilon$ be the subspace of operators whose spectral measure is supported in Σ'_ε defined in Lemma 7.2. The dense set of $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma$ we shall consider is $\bigcup_{\varepsilon,N} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^N + \mathcal{H}'_\varepsilon$. For $x \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^N + \mathcal{H}'_\varepsilon$, by spectral decomposition (3.1) as well as the spectral estimates (7.1) and (7.2), we have

$$\|\alpha(\sigma_r)x\|_2 \le \sup_{\zeta\in\Sigma_{\varepsilon,N}\cup\Sigma'_\varepsilon} |\varphi_\zeta(r)|\|x\|_2 \le C\max(e^{-\gamma\varepsilon r^2}, \varepsilon^{-3}r^{-n+1/2})\|x\|_2.$$

Then the fact that the space $L_2(\mathcal{M}; c_0)$ is complete yields that $(\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{r>1} \in L_2(\mathcal{M}; c_0)$, since

$$(\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{r>1} = \int_1^\infty (\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{r=t}dt$$

and

$$\int_1^\infty \max(e^{-\gamma\varepsilon t^2}, \varepsilon^{-3}t^{-n+1/2})dt \le C < \infty.$$

For other cases $p \ne 2$, using again the maximal ergodic theorem—Theorem 5.3, it suffices to prove $(\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{r>1} \in L_p(\mathcal{M}; c_0)$ for $x \in L_1(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}$, which is a dense subset of $L_p(\mathcal{M})$. Without loss of generality, we assume $p < 2$. Find q and θ such that $(2n-1)/(2n-2) < q < p$ and $1/p = (1-\theta)/q + \theta/2$. Let $x \in L_1(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}$. For any $1 < t < s$, by maximal inequality (5.3),

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{t<r<s}\|_{L_p(\ell_\infty)} &\le \|(\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{t<r<s}\|^{1-\theta}_{L_q(\ell_\infty)}\|(\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{t<r<s}\|^\theta_{L_2(\ell_\infty)}\\ &\le C_q^{1-\theta}\|x\|_q\|(\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{t<r<s}\|^\theta_{L_2(\ell_\infty)},\end{aligned}$$

which tends to 0 when t tends to ∞ using the result in the case $p = 2$. Hence $\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{r>1}$ is approximated by $\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{1<r<t}$'s, therefore belongs to $L_p(\mathcal{M}; c_0)$.

(ii). By Lemma 7.1, it suffices to prove $(\alpha(\sigma_r)x - x)_{0<r\le1}$ belongs to $L_p(\mathcal{M}; c_0(0,1])$ (with respect to $t \to 0$). As before, by maximal inequality (5.3), it suffices show $(\alpha(\sigma_r)x - x)_{0<r\le1}$ belongs to $L_p(\mathcal{M}; c_0(0,1])$ for x in a dense subset of $L_p(\mathcal{M})$. This time, we use the dense subset whose element is of the form $x = \alpha(\phi)y$ with $\phi \in C_c^\infty(H^n)$ and $y \in L_1(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}$ since $\mathcal{D}$ and $L_1(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}$ are dense in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$. Using the arguments in Theorem 4.1,

$$\|\alpha(\sigma_r)\alpha(\phi)y - \alpha(\phi)y\|_\infty \le \|\sigma_r * \phi - \phi\|_1\|y\|_\infty \le C_\phi r\|y\|_\infty.$$

Now we finish the proof by interpolation. Let $0 < t < s \le 1$. Take $(2n-1)/(2n-2) < q < p$, by the maximal inequality (5.3),

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(\alpha(\sigma_r)x - x)_{t<r<s}\|_{L_p(\ell_\infty)}\\ &\le \|(\alpha(\sigma_r)x - x)_{t<r<s}\|^{q/p}_{L_q(\ell_\infty)} \sup_{t<r<s}\|\alpha(\sigma_r)x - x\|^{1-q/p}_\infty\\ &\le C_{p,q}\|x\|_q^{q/p}(C_\phi s\|y\|_\infty)^{1-q/p},\end{aligned}$$

which which tends to 0 when s tends to 0. Whence $\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{0<r\leq 1}$ is approximated by $\alpha(\sigma_r)x)_{s<r\leq 1}$'s, therefore belongs to $L_p(\mathcal{M}; c_0(0,1])$.

(iii). In this case, we use Theorem 2.6 instead of Theorem 2.5, and the same arguments used in (i) are available.

□

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Sundaram Thangavelu for helpful discussion in the proof of Proposition 6.4. The work is partially supported by the NSF of China-11601396, Funds for Talents of China-413100002 and 1000 Young Talent Researcher Programm of China-429900018-101150(2016).

References

[1] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, On ergodic theorems for free group actions on noncommutative spaces, Probab. Theory Related Fields, 135 (4): 520-546, 2006.

[2] T. Bekjan, Noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems for positive contractions, J. Funct. Anal. 254(9):24012418, 2008.

[3] T. Bekjan, Z. Chen and A. Osekowski, Noncommutative maximal inequalities associated with convex functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 369:409-427, 2017.

[4] C. Benson, J. Jenkins and G. Ratcliff, Bounded K-spherical functions on Heisenberg groups. J. Funct. Anal. 105: 409-443, 1992.
associated to convex bodies, Amer. J. Math., 108(6):1467-1476, 1986.

[5] A. Connes, C^* algèbres et géométrie differentielle, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A-B, 290, 1980.

[6] A. Defant and M. Junge, Maximal theorems of Menchoff-Rademacher type in noncommutative L_q-spaces, J.Funct.Anal. **206**:322-355, 2004.

[7] S. Dirksen, Weak-type interpolation for noncommutative maximal operators, J. Operator Theory 73(2): 515532, 2015.

[8] J. Faraut, K. Harzallah, Deux cours d'analyse harmonique, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1987.

[9] G. Hong, The behavior of the bounds of matrix-valued maximal inequality in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for large n, Illinois. J. Math, 57(3):855-869, 2013.

[10] G. Hong, Noncommutative ergodic averages of balls and spheres, dimension free estimates, in progress.

[11] G. Hong, Noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems for Gelfand pairs, in progress.

[12] Y. Hu, Maximal ergodic theorems for some group actions, J. Funct. Anal, 254: 1282-1306, 2008.

[13] A. Hulanicki, F. Ricci, A Tauberian theorem and tangential convergence for bounded harmonic functions on balls in $\mathbb{C}^n$, Invert. Math., 62: 325-331, 1980.

[14] M. Junge, Doob's inequality for non-commutative martingales, J. Reine Angew. Math., 549:149-190, 2002.

[15] M. Junge, T. Mei and J. Parcet, Smooth Fourier multipliers on group von Neumann algebras, preprint 2011, arXiv Math: 1010.5320.

[16] M. Junge, J. Parcet, Mixed-norm inequalities and operator space Lp embedding theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **952**, 2010.

[17] M. Junge and Q. Xu, Noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 20:385-439, 2006.

[18] E. C. Lance, Ergodic theorems for convex sets and operator algebras, Invent. Math., 37(3):201-214, 1976.

[19] C. Le Merdy, Q. Xu, Maximal theorems and square functions for analytic operators on L^p-spaces, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 86(2):343365, 2012.
[20] T. Mei, Operator valued Hardy spaces, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 188, 2007.
[21] M. Musat, Interpolation between non-commutative BMO and non-commutative L_p-spaces. J. Funct. Anal. **202**:195-225, 2003.
[22] E. K. Narayanan, S. Thangavelu, An optimal theorem for the spherical maximal operator on the Heisenberg group, Israel Journal of Mathematics, 144: 211-219, 2004.
[23] A. Nevo, Pointwise ergodic theorems for radial averages on simple Lie groups I, Duke Math. J. 76: 113-140, 1994.
[24] A. Nevo and S. Thangavelu, Pointwise ergodic theorems for radial averages on the Heisenberg Group, Adv. Math., 127:307-334, 1997.
[25] J. Parcet, Pseudo-localization of singular integrals and noncommutative Calderón-Zygmund theory, J. Funct. Anal., 256:5509-593, 2009.
[26] J. Parcet and K. Rogers, Twisted Hilbert transforms vs Kakeya sets of directions, J. Reine Angew. Math., Ahead of Print, DOI 10.1515/crelle-2013-0110.
[27] G. K. Pedersen, C^*-algebras and their automorphism groups, London Mathematical Society Monographs, No. 14, Academic Press, 1979, ix+416 pp.
[28] E. M. Stein, Topics in Harmonic Analysis Related to the Littlewood-Paley Theory, Ann. of Math. Stud. 63, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.
[29] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: real variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals, Princeton University Press, 1993.
[30] K. Stempak, On convolution products of radial measures on the Heisenberg group, Colloq. Math. 50: 125-128, 1985.
[31] R. Strichartz, L^p harmonic analysis and Radon transform on the Heisenberg group, J. Funct. Anal. 96: 350-406, 1991.
[32] G. Szego, Orthogonal Polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publications, Providence, 1967.
[33] S. Thangavelu, Spherical means on the Heisenberg group and a restriction theorem for the symplectic Fourier transform, Revista. Mat. Ibero., 7: 135-155, 1991.
[34] S. Thangavelu, Lectures on Hermite and Laguerre Expansions, Math. Notes, No. 42, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
[35] G. Pisier, Non-commutative vector-valued L_p-space and completely p-summing maps, Astérisque, 247, 1998.
[36] G. Pisier and Q. Xu, Non-commutative L_p-spaces, In Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Vol. II, 1459-1517. Edited by W.B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, Elsevier, 2003.
[37] Q. Zeng, Poincaré type inequalities for group measure spaces and related transportation cost inequalities, J. Funct. Anal., 266: 3236-3264, 2014.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China,
E-mail address: guixiang.hong@whu.edu.cn